• • • •																		· BOOIUM & PEOSE · 18-4 WHITE · GIS-4 GREEN · RIS-4 RUFF
26	25	23	22	21	20 T-1		17	5	5 4	===	12	9 8	7	5 1	4 2	ω 	2 1	<u>۲</u>
	<u></u>	<u> </u>	3			<u>. </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13	 121		I	-			7550r
		I ZiC	= - ,								8	211			٠			
		オ	1								υγγ.	: 2						
		Oll Nick Bourne,	•								24 Bourne 1/2,	;						
		70										•			,		Ä	
																	, Toni 14,	
												jwnght,					+,	
												ght,						
												-						
												•,						
		_															:	
																	-	
							د											

	<u>, </u>	2 2 2 2 E	ರ್ಷನ = 	10 8 7 6	5 2 2 12	
		J78		20/Chris Wright 23/Waynt 1/11/4+1/1/ 24/Toni/11/	= :	- T - 1 - 1
			· .	Sur 1/4/2,	-	
					· -	
						##************************************
			.			
27 26		1	i)	 	

RECEIVED AUG 1 4 1996

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

In re Applications of)
RAINBOW BROADCASTING COMPANY	GC Docket No. 95-172 File No. BMPCT-910625KP
For an extension of time to construct) File No. BMPCT-910125KE) File No. BTCCT-911129KT
and)
For an Assignment of its construction permit for Station WRBW(TV), Orlando, Florida)))
TO: The Honorable Joseph Chachkin Administrative Law Judge	

PRESS BROADCASTING COMPANY, INC. HEARING EXHIBIT

NO. 21

Transcript of Deposition of Clay Pendarvis conducted May 23, 1996

A second							
Federal	Federal Communications Commission						
Docket No. CC	Docket No. GC-95-17? Exhibit No. 21						
Presented by H	Presented by Hany Cole, Eso. / Pres Brandenste						
	Identified X						
Dispostion	Received						
	Rejected						
Reporter Patieson, Per							
Date Mulac							

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

4BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISAUGN 4 1996 WASHINGTON, D.C.

> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY

IN RE: Applications of Rainbow Broadcasting Company for an extension of time to construct and for an assignment : BMPCT-910625KP

: GC Docket No. : 95-172 : File Nos.

of its construction permit for : BMPCT-910125KE Station WRBW (TV), Orlando, : BTCCT-911129KT

Florida.

Washington, D.C.

Thursday, May 23, 1996

Deposition of

CLAY PENDARVIS

a witness of lawful age, taken on behalf of Press Broadcasting Company, Inc., in the above-entitled action, before Thomas R. Brezina, notary public in and for the District of Columbia, in the offices of the Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, Washington, D.C., commencing at 10:29 a.m., when were present on behalf of the following parties:

			2
1	APPEARA	NCES:	
2	On b	ehalf of Rainbow Broadcasting Company,	Inc.:
3	1	LLAN G. MOSKOWITZ, ESQUIRE RUCE A. EISEN, ESQUIRE	
4	K	aye, Scholer, Fierman, Hays & Handler 01 15th Street, N.W., Suite 1100	
5	W	ashington, D.C. 20005 202) 682-3500	
6	,	,	
7	On b	ehalf of Rainbow Broadcasting, Ltd.:	
8	1	ARGOT POLIVY, ESQUIRE enouf & Polivy	
9	1	532 16th Street, N.W. ashington, D.C. 20036	
L O	,	202) 265-1807	
11	0 in h	shalf of Doors Decoderating Company Ir	
L 2		ehalf of Press Broadcasting Company, Ir	1C.:
L 3	В	ARRY F. COLE, ESQUIRE echtel & Cole, Chartered 901 L Street, N.W., Suite 250	
L 4	W	ashington, D.C. 20036 202) 833-4190	
L 5	·		
L 6	On b	ehalf of FCC:	
L 7	3	TEWART A. BLOCK, ESQUIRE AVID SILBERMAN, ESQUIRE	,
L 8	F	ederal Communications Commission ffice of General Counsel	
19	1	919 M Street, N.W., Suite 602	
2 0	l .	ashington, D.C. 20554 202) 418-1740	
21			
22			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	Whereupon,
3	CLAY PENDARVIS
4	was called as a witness and, having been first
5	duly sworn, was examined and testified as
6	follows:
7	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PRESS
8	BROADCASTING
9	BY MR. COLE:
10	Q Good morning, Mr. Pendarvis.
11	Could you state your name and office
12	address for the record, please.
13	A My name is Clay Pendarvis. I'm chief
14	of the television branch, Federal
15	Communications Commission, 1919 M Street,
16	Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20554.
17	Q Mr. Pendarvis, we appreciate your
18	coming down. We'll try to make this as quick
19	as possible, because we don't want to intrude
20	on your busy schedule.
21	I'm Harry Cole, counsel for Press
22	Broadcasting Company in this case. In the

1	event that you have any questions about the
2	questions which are presented to you, you don't
3	understand them or you want clarification,
4	please don't hesitant to ask. We'll do
5	everything we can to make it as clear as we
6	can, and we do appreciate your cooperation.
7	How long have you been chief of the
8	TV branch?

- For about 15 years. Α
- And how long have you been at the FCC?
 - Twenty-two years.
 - Q And is it correct that you are an attorney by training?
 - That's correct.
 - Sir, the hearing, in connection with Q which we are conducting this deposition, includes in its caption, three applications filed by Rainbow Broadcasting Company, and I'll use the term, the shorthand RBC for Rainbow Broadcasting Company. Those applications include two applications for extension of RBC's

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

construction permit for Channel 65 in Orlando,
Florida, and one application for consent to the
assignment of that permit.

Are you generally familiar with those applications?

A Yes.

Q During the period of time, January 1991 through July of 1993, did you have any oral communications with anyone acting on behalf of RBC, with respect to any RBC application, which was pending before the bureau at the time of the communication?

A Yes.

Q How many such communications did you have?

A My recollection is two, I think.

Q Sir, let me show you, in the course of discovery in this case, RBC has produced for Press a document which I'm providing to you, and which was previously this morning identified as Kreisman Deposition Exhibit No.

1, which was provided in response to a request

for copies of records of a law firm of Renouf & Polivy, reflecting contacts between representatives of that firm and members of the Commission staff, in connection with the RBC applications.

If you could take a minute, sir, and just review that, and see if that refreshes your recollection at all, with respect to any communications you might have had with RBC, or its representatives.

A My recollection is still approximately two contacts.

Q And let's take the first of those two contacts.

When did that occur? Do you recall?

A I think it was sometime after the first Rainbow decision.

Q The first Rainbow decision, are you referring there, to the letter from Barbara Kreisman, dated June 18, 1993, denying or dismissing Rainbow's then-pending applications?

A That's correct.

1	Q So, there was a conversation after
2	that, at some point?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And who was that conversation with?
5	A There were two conversations. One
6	was Toni Cook Bush, and the other one was with
7	Mrs. Margot Polivy.
8	Q Okay. Which one of those came first?
9	A I think the Toni Cook Bush
10	conversation took place first.
11	Q Okay. Let's focus on that one just
12	for right now.
13	Ms. Bush spoke with you. That was by
14	telephone or in person?
15	A By telephone.
16	Q Who made that call? Who placed the
17	call? Was that you or her?
18	A I placed the call.
19	Q How did you have occasion to place
2 0	the call?
21	A She called Roy Stewart, chief of the
22	mass media bureau, and he directed me to call

1 her. Or asked me to call her.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q How long was your conversation with Ms. Cook Bush, to the best of your recollection?
 - A Maybe five minutes.
- Q At any time during your conversation with Ms. Bush, did she say anything to you about the Commission's ex parte rules?
 - A No.
- Q At any time during your conversation with Ms. Bush, did she say anything about the applicability of the ex parte rules to your conversation with her?
 - A No.
- Q At any time during your conversation with Ms. Bush, did she say anything which you understood to relate, in any way, to the Commission's ex parte rules, or to the applicability of those rules, to the communication you were having with her?
- 21 A No.
- Q At any time during your conversation

with Ms. Bush, did you say anything to her 1 about the Commission's ex parte rules? 2 Α No. 3 At any time during your communication with Ms. Bush, did you say anything to her 5 about the applicability of the ex parte rules 6 to your conversation with her? 7 Α No. 8 0 Okay. 9 Let's move on to the second 10 conversation, which I believe you said was with 11 Ms. Polivy; is that correct? 12 That's correct. 13 Do you recall when that occurred? 14 I don't recall the exact date, but it 15 was after the Toni Bush call was -- as best I 16 can recall. 17 18 Do you also recall a meeting that was held in Mr. Stewart's office on July 1, 1993? 19 20 Yes.

before, or after that meeting?

Was your conversation with Ms. Polivy

21

Before that meeting. 1 Did Ms. Polivy call you, or did you 2 call her? 3 She called me. 4 And how long did that conversation 5 last, approximately? 6 I'd say less than a minute. 7 During that conversation, did Ms. 8 Polivy say anything to you about the 9 Commission's ex parte rules? 10 No. 11 Α 12 During that conversation, did Ms. 13 Polivy say anything to you about the applicability of the ex parte rules, to your 14 15 conversation with her? 16 No. Α 17 During your conversation with Ms. 18 Polivy, did she say anything, which you 19 understood to relate, in any way, to the

Commission's ex parte rules, or to the

conversation that you were having with Ms.

applicability of those rules, to the

20

21

1	Polivy?
2	A No.
3	Q At any time during your conversation
4	with Ms. Polivy, did you say anything to her
5	concerning the Commission's ex parte rules?
6	A No.
7	Q And at any time during your
8	conversation with Ms. Polivy, did you say
9	anything to her about the applicability of the
10	ex parte rules to your conversation?
11	A No.
12	Q Were those the only two telephone
13	conversations that you had with any RBC
14	representative, concerning RBC's applications,
15	to the best of your recollection?
16	A To the best of my recollection, those
17	were the only two.
18	Q Okay.
19	But you did participate, did you not,
20	in the July 1 meeting? You were in attendance.
21	A I was in attendance that's correct

That meeting was held in Mr.

Q

Stewart's office. Is that what you said?

A That's correct.

Q Did you communicate with anyone, on behalf of RBC, to make arrangements to hold that meeting?

A No. Ms. -- Ms. Polivy called me about -- about a meeting. That was the nature of my conversation with her.

O I see.

A And I -- my recollection is, I told
her I'd get back to her. And I think I
received a call from her partner saying the
meeting had been scheduled, and was in Mr.
Stewart's office. And that's the extent of any
conversations I had about scheduling a meeting.

Q When you say that you spoke with Ms. Polivy, is that the conversation you and I were just discussing beforehand? Was there a --

A No. That was another -- I think I got a call back from her office, not from her, but I think it was from her law partner, indicating --

1	Q Ms. Renouf?
2	A The meeting that she had called me
3	about earlier was scheduled to be in Roy
4	Stewart's office and requested that I attend.
5	Q So, just so the record is straight,
6	you had one conversation with Ms. Cook Bush and
7	just one conversation with Ms. Polivy.
8	A That's correct.
9	Q And then one conversation, of a
10	follow-up nature, with Ms. Polivy's colleague.
11	A I think I received a call from her
12	office, and I think it was her law partner,
13	yes.
14	Q How long was the conversation with
15	her law partner, or this
16	A It was just less than a minute,
17	probably. I think the nature of it was
18	there was a meeting scheduled in Roy Stewart's
19	office, and would I attend, or was asked me
20	to attend.

recollection, say anything about the

Did that person, to the best of your

21

Commission's ex parte rules during that conversation?

A No.

Q Did that person say anything about the applicability of the ex parte rules, either to your conversation that you were having, or to the meeting that was discussed in the conversation?

A No.

Q Did that person say anything, which you understood to relate to the Commission's exparte rules, or to the applicability of those exparte rules to the communication, or to the meeting which was proposed during the conversation?

A No.

Q At any time during that conversation did you say anything, to whoever that person was, about the ex parte rules?

A No.

Q And at any time during that conversation, did you say anything to that

person about the applicability of the ex parte 1 rules to the communication that you were 2 having? Or to the --3 No. Α 4 -- to the meeting that was proposed 5 in that communication? 6 No. 7 Α Now, let's go to the July 1 meeting. 0 8 Who was in attendance, on behalf of 9 RBC at that meeting? If you recall? 10 Ms. Polivy and a principal from 11 I don't recall his name. 12 Rainbow. At any time during the meeting, did 13 0 Ms. Polivy, or the RBC principal who was with 14 15 her, say anything about the Commission's ex parte rules? 16 17 No. 18 At any time during the meeting, did 19 Ms. Polivy, or the principal who was with her, 20 say anything about the applicability of the ex 21 parte rules to the communications, which were

taking place in the meeting?

1

2

. .

No. Α

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

At any time during the meeting, did 0 Ms. Polivy, or the principal who was with her, say anything which you understood to relate, in any way, to the Commission's ex parte rules, or the applicability of the those rules, to the communications which were taking place at the meeting?

> No. Α

At any time during the meeting, did you say anything to Ms. Polivy, or to the RBC principal with her, about the Commission's ex parte rules?

No.

At any time during the meeting, did you say anything to Ms. Polivy, or the principal who was with her, about the applicability of the ex parte rules to the communications, which were taking place at the meeting?

> No. Α

Were there any other communications, Q

besides those that we've discussed this morning, between you and any representative of RBC, concerning the RBC applications?

A None that I recall.

- Q Is it accurate to state, Mr.

 Pendarvis, that at no time in any oral

 communications between you and anyone acting on

 behalf of RBC, did either you or that person,

 or persons with whom you were communicating,

 say anything about the ex parte rules. Is that

 accurate?
 - A That's accurate.
- Q Is it also accurate to state, that at no time in any oral communication between you and anyone acting on behalf of RBC, did either you or that person, or persons, say anything about the applicability of the ex parte rules to the communication which was taking place?
 - A That's accurate.
- Q Is it accurate to state, that at no time in any oral communication between you and anyone acting on behalf of RBC, did the person

1	or persons with whom you were communicating,
2	say anything which you understood to relate in
3	any way, to the ex parte rules, or to the
4	applicability of the ex parte rules to the
5	communication which was taking place?
6	A That's accurate.
7	MR. COLE: I have no further
8	questions.
9	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR RBL
10	BY MS. POLIVY:
11	Q Good morning, Mr. Pendarvis.
12	A Good morning.
13	Q I just have a few.
14	The telephone calls with Rainbow, or
15	with me representing Rainbow, that you have
16	testified to, with respect to Mr. Cole's
17	questions, was there anything of substance
18	regarding the Rainbow applications discussed
19	during those telephone calls?
20	A The merits?
21	MR. DZIEDZIC: Objection.
22	BY MS. POLIVY:

		20
1	Q	I mean the merits, yes.
2		MS. POLIVY: I take it that was your
3	objection	?
4		MR. DZIEDZIC: That's correct.
5		BY MS. POLIVY:
6	Q	Yes. I do mean the merits.
7		MR. DZIEDZIC: You can answer.
8		THE WITNESS: No.
9		BY MS. POLIVY:
10	Q	Okay.
11		At the meeting of July 1, that you
12	attended,	do you recall any mention,
13	whatsoeve:	r, of ex parte?
14		MR. BLOCK: Objection. Asked and
15	answered.	
16		THE WITNESS: No.
17		MR. DZIEDZIC: He can answer. He's
18	answered.	
19		BY MS. POLIVY:
20	Q	No?
21	A	No. I don't recall any.
22	·	MS. POLIVY: I have no further
	1	

1	questions.
2	MR. MOSKOWITZ: Mr. Pendarvis, I'm
3	Allan Moskowitz. I have no questions.
4	MS. POLIVY: He just wanted to get
5	his name on the record.
6	MR. COLE: Needs it for billing
7	purposes.
8	MR. BLOCK: I'm Stuart Block. With
9	me is David Silberman of the separate trial in
10	this matter, and I think all the appropriate
11	questions have been asked, so I won't ask
12	anything else. Thank you.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	MR. COLE: Thank you, Mr. Pendarvis.
15	I appreciate your cooperation.
16	(Discussion off the record)
17	MR. DZIEDZIC: I just wanted to
18	state, for the record, that Ms. Kreisman and
19	Messrs. Stewart and Pendarvis appeared here
20	today without the necessity of a subpoena.
21	MS. POLIVY: At the direction of the
22	Commission.

1	MR. DZIEDZIC: No. It was authorized
2	by the Commission. Only the judge can issue a
3	subpoena.
4	MR. MOSKOWITZ: That's it.
5	MR. DZIEDZIC: That's it.
6	(Whereupon, at 10:45 a.m., the
7	deposition of CLAY PENDARVIS was
8	adjourned.)
9	* * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	